



## Research Article

## Predictive Factors of Poor Prognosis in Acute Subdural Hematoma: Experience of the University Hospital of Bouaké

*Yb Fionko<sup>1,2\*</sup>, L Derou<sup>1,2</sup>, Rya Diaby<sup>3</sup>, Ks Yao<sup>1,2</sup>, L Teti<sup>1,2</sup>, S Dongo<sup>1,2</sup>, Jb Keke<sup>1,2</sup>, Jj Kouassi<sup>1</sup>, A Kouadio<sup>1</sup>, Da Traore<sup>1</sup>, L Lawson<sup>1</sup>, D Koffi<sup>1</sup>, Lm Konan<sup>3</sup>, A Tokpa<sup>1,2</sup> and A Haidara<sup>1,2</sup>*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, University Hospital Bouaké, Côte d'Ivoire

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Medical Sciences, Alassane Ouattara University, Bouaké, Côte d'Ivoire

<sup>3</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, School of Medicine Abidjan, Université Felix Houphouët Boigny, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire

## ARTICLE INFO

## Keywords:

Mortality  
traumatic brain injury  
acute subdural hematoma

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To identify, within our hospital setting, the predictive factors associated with poor prognosis in patients presenting with acute subdural hematoma (ASDH), with the aim of optimizing management and improving survival through the implementation of targeted therapeutic strategies.

**Materials and Methods:** We conducted a retrospective, observational, and analytical study over a four-year period (January 1, 2020 - December 31, 2023), including all patients admitted to the neurosurgery and intensive care units for the management of ASDH. Initial univariate analysis compared clinical, radiological, and outcome characteristics between survivors and non-survivors. Independent predictors of mortality were subsequently identified using multivariate logistic regression.

**Results:** A total of 124 patients were included, of whom 88 survived (70.97%) and 36 died (29.03%). The mean age was 33.51 years (range: 9-70 years), with a marked male predominance (115 men, 92.74% vs. 9 women, 7.26%). The overall mortality rate was 40.91%. Multivariate analysis identified the following independent predictors of death: initial Glasgow Coma Scale  $\leq 13$  (OR = 5.3;  $p < 0.0001$ ), pupillary diameter abnormality (OR = 28.281;  $p < 0.0001$ ), hematoma thickness  $\geq 15.8$  mm (OR = 11.051;  $p < 0.0001$ ), midline shift  $\geq 13$  mm (OR = 6.02;  $p < 0.0001$ ), presence of temporal or tonsillar herniation (OR = 8.034;  $p = 0.000$ ), Rotterdam CT score  $\geq 4.19$  (OR = 14;  $p = 0.000$ ), and non-admission to intensive care (OR = 5.84;  $p = 0.01$ ).

**Conclusion:** Initial neurological status, CT markers of severe cerebral compression, and timely access to intensive care are major determinants of survival in ASDH. Early recognition of these factors should prompt rapid and aggressive management to improve patient outcomes.

## 1. Introduction

A traumatic brain injury (TBI) refers to trauma to the skull that results in either transient or permanent brain dysfunction, with or without loss of consciousness. It can lead to death or various dysfunctions, such as motor, cognitive, and/or psychological impairments [1]. TBIs significantly impact not only the patient's life but also that of their family and close circle. [1] When severe (Glasgow Coma Scale score of 8 or less) [2-4]. TBIs represent a major cause of morbidity and mortality in developing countries [5, 6]. In France, there are approximately 8,000 deaths and 150,000 cases of TBI annually. In 2005, 30,000 TBI patients

were reported to be living with severe disabilities [6, 7]. Traumatic brain injury is the leading cause of death among young adults in both developed and developing countries [2, 4]. However, in developing countries, mortality is partly attributed to the very limited resources available for patient care [4]. The high morbidity and mortality associated with severe TBIs make it a significant public health issue [6]. The most common causes include road traffic accidents (RTAs) and falls. TBIs show a strong male predominance, with a sex ratio consistently ranging between 1.4 and 4. The incidence of TBIs varies between 0.9 and 7 per thousand, depending on the study location, study years, and study types [1-4, 8].

\*Corresponding author: Department of Neurosurgery, University Hospital Bouaké, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Alassane Ouattara University, Côte d'Ivoire; Tel: +2250777342171; E-mail: [bfionko@gmail.com](mailto:bfionko@gmail.com) (Fionko Yao Bernard)

Among severe TBIs (sTBI), acute subdural hematoma (ASDH) (accounting for 40-50% of sTBIs) is a devastating clinical entity. It involves intracranial lesions characterized by blood accumulation between the dura mater and the brain. The prognosis, which is difficult to predict, ranges from complete recovery to dependency on caregivers or death [6]. Mortality rates as high as 60% have been reported [5, 6]. The clinical presentation of ASDH typically manifests within the first three days following high velocity cranioencephalic trauma (common in younger patients) or minor trauma (in elderly patients or those on anticoagulants). In the most severe cases, neurological symptoms appear immediately. The causes include the rupture of a vein or artery or bleeding from a cerebral contusion. Paraclinical diagnosis relies on non-contrast cranial computed tomography (CT), where ASDH appears as a spontaneously hyperdense, crescent-shaped, hemispheric collection adjacent to the skull with poorly defined borders [5, 6]. It is differentiated on CT from chronic subdural hematoma (CSDH), which appears as an isodense or hypodense collection. When heterogeneous hyperdensity is observed within the collection, it indicates recent bleeding within the CSDH [3, 5, 6].

Surgical treatment involves the evacuation of ASDH via a large craniotomy, with or without replacement of the bone flap, and wide opening of the dura mater, also known as decompressive craniectomy [3, 6, 9-11]. Any subdural hematoma with a thickness exceeding 10 mm or causing a midline shift (MLS) greater than 5 mm must be urgently evacuated, regardless of the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score [8, 12]. Surgery is also recommended for any subdural hematoma in cases of severe TBI with a decrease in GCS by 2 or more points between the time of trauma and hospitalization and/or the presence of pupillary abnormalities (bilateral mydriasis or anisocoria) [12].

The surgical indications are as follows: an ASDH thickness (EH) greater than 10 mm and/or a midline shift (MLS) of 5 mm or more, regardless of the Glasgow coma scale (GCS) score. For ASDHs with a thickness less than 10 mm and an MLS less than 5 mm, surgery is indicated only if the GCS decreases by 2 points compared to the initial GCS, or if the pupils are asymmetric or fixed and dilated, or if the intracranial pressure (ICP) exceeds 20 mm Hg [6]. Several prognostic studies have identified age, initial GCS, and pupillary abnormalities as prognostic factors [3-17]. However, very few of these prognostic studies are based on African data [1, 4, 7]. The objective of this study is to determine the factors associated with poor prognosis in ASDH within our context. This study aims to improve patient survival by implementing appropriate management strategies.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Type of Study

This is a retrospective, observational, and analytical study conducted on patients admitted to neurosurgery and intensive care units for the management of acute subdural hematoma (ASDH) over a 4-year period, from January 1, 2020, to December 31, 2023.

### 2.2. Study Population

Using the hospitalization registry of the department, we identified all patients admitted with a primary diagnosis of ASDH, with or without

traumatic edema-hemorrhagic contusion. All patients, regardless of age or gender, were included. Given the retrospective nature of the study, no consent was obtained from the patients. However, they were informed during follow-up telephone interviews about the anonymized use of their data.

### 2.3. Data Collection

Clinical and paraclinical data were extracted from patient medical records. The Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS) at 6 months post-trauma was determined from rehabilitation reports, postoperative follow-up consultations, communication with attending physicians, or intensive care unit records.

For each patient, the following variables were collected: Sociodemographic data included age, sex, and admission delay. Clinical parameters comprised history of chronic alcoholism, initial Glasgow coma scale (GCS) score, pupil status, neurological deficits, and higher cognitive functions. Paraclinical data included CT scan findings (hematoma location, presence or absence of edema-hemorrhagic contusion, hematoma thickness), indirect signs of intracranial hypertension (midline shift [MLS], temporal or brainstem herniation), and radiological indicators of cerebral edema (visualization of basal cisterns, lateral ventricles, cortical sulci, and comparison between hematoma thickness and MLS). Preoperative laboratory assessments (anemia, prothrombin time) were also recorded, and the Rotterdam score was calculated for each patient. Therapeutic variables comprised operative delay, type of surgical procedure, and perioperative transfusions (pre-, intra-, and postoperative). Evolutionary data included mortality, postoperative rehabilitation, infection, and admission to intensive care.

### 2.4. Statistical Analysis

Univariate and bivariate descriptive analyses were performed. Qualitative variables were compared using the Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test when appropriate. Quantitative variables were compared using the Student's t-test or, in cases of non-normal distribution or heteroscedasticity, the non-parametric Mann-Whitney test. Variables associated with poor prognosis at a significance level of  $p < 0.05$  and deemed clinically relevant were introduced into a multivariate logistic regression model. Only variables that met the assumptions of the multivariate model were retained. Prognostic factors were considered significant at  $p < 0.05$ . A 95% confidence interval was applied for all estimates. Statistical analysis and data coding were conducted using SPSS IBM version 22 (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences).

### 2.5. Definition of Study Criteria

ASDH was defined paraclinically by the presence of a hyperdense collection, irrespective of the duration of installation. Outcome was assessed using the modified Jennett and Bond (1975) GOS classification, validated in French (Annex 3). A GOS score of 1 or 2 was considered a poor prognosis. For GCS, the lowest score recorded before sedation—usually reported by first responders—was used.

## 2.6. Radiological Imaging Definitions

All admission brain CT scans and their reports were reviewed by a single evaluator. The primary elements analyzed were those described in the neurosurgeon's written report, interpreted in relation to the patient's clinical course. Specific analyses included the following: cortical sulci were classified as clearly visible on both hemispheres, erased on one hemisphere, or erased on both hemispheres, based on axial slices passing through the lateral ventricles and Sylvian fissures. Infra-tentorial basal cisterns, particularly peri-mesencephalic cisterns, were assessed according to the Rotterdam score classification and described as clearly visible, partially visible, or absent. Contusions were characterized by both localization and volume, with volume estimated using the standard formula (ABC/2), where A, B, and C represent the largest diameters (length, width, and height).

## 3. Results

During the study period, a total of 124 patients were admitted for the management of acute subdural hematoma (ASDH) in the Neurosurgery Department of Bouaké University Hospital, out of 2180 cases of traumatic brain injury, representing a prevalence of 17.42%. Among the 124 cases, 88 patients survived, while 36 patients died, resulting in a mortality rate of 40.91%. The mean age of the study population was 33.51 years, ranging from 9 to 70 years. The gender distribution included 115 males (92.74%) and 9 females (7.26%). At 6 months post-trauma, 36 patients (29%) had a poor prognosis. Chronic alcoholism was reported in 22.58% of patients, and 60.7% had experienced high-kinetic trauma. The average hematoma thickness in the cohort was 13.5 mm,

with values ranging from 3 to 25 mm. The difference between hematoma thickness and midline shift (MLS) varied between 3 and 15 mm, while the median MLS was 10 mm (interquartile range: 2.25-16 mm). The mean Rotterdam score was 3.96.

Multivariate analysis identified several factors as significant predictors of mortality. An initial Glasgow coma scale (GCS) score of 13 or lower was associated with a markedly increased risk (OR = 5.3,  $p < 0.0001$ ). Abnormalities in pupil diameter were among the strongest predictors (OR = 28.281,  $p < 0.0001$ ). Radiological markers, including hematoma thickness  $\geq 15.8$  mm (OR = 11.051,  $p < 0.0001$ ) and MLS  $\geq 13$  mm (OR = 6.02,  $p < 0.0001$ ), also strongly correlated with poor outcomes. The presence of temporal or tonsillar herniation further increased mortality risk (OR = 8.034,  $p = 0.000$ ). Similarly, a Rotterdam score of 4.19 or higher was highly predictive (OR = 14,  $p = 0.000$ ). Finally, non-admission to intensive care significantly worsened prognosis (OR = 5.84,  $p = 0.01$ ), underscoring the critical importance of intensive management in ASDH.

The characteristics of the study population, clinical examination findings, initial imaging results, and therapeutic management are presented in (Tables 1-3). The analysis of mortality risk factors is detailed in (Table 4), while the results of logistic regression analysis are summarized in (Table 5). In this study, an association was observed between initial Glasgow Coma Scale score, pupil status, average hematoma thickness, median MLS, presence of temporal herniation, mean Rotterdam score, admission to intensive care, and the occurrence of death.

**Table 1.** Population description.

<b>Sociodemographics</b>	Alive	Deceased	Total
<b>Age</b>			
< 40 years	64 (70,33%)	27 (29,67%)	91
> 40 years	24 (72,73%)	9 (27,27%)	33
<b>Sexe</b>			
Male	80 (69,57%)	35 (30,43%)	115
Female	8 (88,89%)	1 (11,11%)	9
<b>Chronic Alcoholism</b>			
Yes	21 (75%)	7 (25%)	28
No	67 (69,79%)	29 (30,21%)	96
<b>Clinical</b>			
<b>Admission delay</b>			
< 24h	6 (100%)	0	6
> 24h	82 (71,93%)	36 (31,57%)	114
<b>Initial GCS</b>			
<8	0	4 (100%)	4
8-13	71 (69,61%)	31 (30,39%)	102
14-15	17 (94,44%)	1 (5,56%)	18
<b>Pupillary Status</b>			
Normal	73 (78,49%)	20 (21,51%)	93
Anisocoria	9 (47,37%)	10 (52,63%)	19
Myosis	5 (50 %)	5 (50 %)	11
Mydriasis	0	1 (100%)	1
<b>Neurological Deficit</b>			
Yes	50 (68,49%)	23 (31,51%)	73

No	38 (74,51%)	13 (25,49%)	51
<b>Cognitive function</b>			
Yes	25 (78,12%)	7 (21,88%)	32
No	28 (54,90%)	13 (25,49%)	51
Untested	35 (68,63%)	16 (31,37%)	51

**Table 2.** CT findings and biology.

<b>Average Hematoma Thickness, mm</b>	11,2	15,8	27,0
<b>Average Midline Shift, mm</b>	7	13	20
<b>Average (ET - MS), mm</b>	0,6	1,5	2,1
<b>Presence of brain contusion</b>			
Yes	37 (54,41%)	31 (45,59%)	68
No	51 (91,07%)	5 (8,93%)	56
<b>Temporal/Uncal Herniation</b>			
Yes	63 (75,90%)	20 (24,10%)	83
No	25 (60,97%)	16 (39,03%)	41
<b>Rotterdam Score</b>	3,73	4,19	7,92
<b>Cortical Sulcus effacement</b>			
Visible bilaterally	13 (72,22%)	5 (27,78%)	18
Not visible unilaterally	62 (70,45%)	26 (29,55%)	88
Not visible bilaterally	13 (72,22%)	5 (27,78%)	18
<b>Biology</b>			
<b>Coagulation impairment</b>			
Yes	65 (71,43%)	26 (28,57%)	91
No	23 (69,7%)	10 (30,3%)	33
<b>Anemia</b>			
Yes	32 (57,14%)	24 (42,86%)	56
No	56 (82,35%)	12 (17,65%)	68

**Table 3.** Therapeutic characteristics.

<b>Therapeutic characteristics</b>	Alive	Deceased	Total
<b>Surgical Timing (n=97)</b>			
< 24h	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	5
> 24hs	59 (64,13%)	33 (35,87%)	92
<b>Treatment Type</b>			
Surgical	62 (63,92%)	35 (36,08%)	97
Non surgical	26 (96,3%)	1 (3,7%)	27
<b>Surgical Technique</b>			
Decompressive hemicraniectomy	21 (55,26%)	17 (44,74%)	38
Decompressive hemicraniectomy + hematoma evacuation	41 (69,49%)	18 (30,51%)	59
<b>Intraoperative blood loss</b>			
< 500ml	32 (72,73%)	12 (27,27%)	44
> 500ml	56 (70%)	24 (30%)	80
<b>Outcomes</b>			
<b>ICU admission</b>			
Yes	60 (68,18%)	16 (44,44%)	76
No	28 (31,82%)	20 (55,56%)	48
<b>Post operative infection</b>			
Yes	1 (9,09%)	10 (90,91%)	11
No	87 (76,99%)	26 (23,01%)	113
<b>Rehabilitation</b>			
Yes	49 (89,09%)	6 (10,91%)	55
No	39 (56,52%)	30 (43,47%)	69
<b>Glasgow Outcome Score</b>			

[1-2]	2 (5,55%)	34 (94,45%)	36
[3-5]	86 (97,73%)	2 (2,27%)	88

**Table 4.** Analysis of mortality risk factors.

Variables	Deceased	Alive	Total	OR	IC	P value
<b>Sociodemographics</b>						
<b>Age</b>						
< 40 years	27	64	91		-120,36-68,3639	0,357
> 40 years	9	24	33			
<b>Sexe</b>						
Male	35	80	115	3,473	0,4371-159,564	0,445
Female	1	8	9			
<b>Chronic Alcoholism</b>						
Yes	7	21	28		0,405 -2,727	0,593
No	29	67	96			
<b>Clinical</b>						
<b>Admission delay</b>						
< 24h	0	6	6		0,589-3,639	0,179
> 24h	36	82	118			
<b>Initial GCS</b>						
<8	4	0	4			
8-13	31	71	102		1,049- 7,342	<b>0,0004</b>
14-15	1	17	18			
<b>Pupillary Status</b>						
Normal	20	74	94			
Anisocoria	10	9	19		1,774- 11,843	<b>0,0017</b>
Myosis	5	5	10			
Mydriasis	1	0	1			
<b>Neurological Deficit</b>						
Yes	23	50	73		-	0,599
No	13	38	51			
<b>Cognitive function</b>						
Yes	7	25	32			
No	13	28	41		-	0,584
Untested	16	35	51			
<b>CT Findings</b>						
Average Hematoma Thickness, mm	<b>15,8</b>	11,2			0,025- 0,261	<b>&lt;0,0001</b>
Average Midline Shift, mm	<b>13</b>	7				<b>&lt;0,0001</b>
Average (ET - MS), mm	1,5	0,6				
<b>Presence of brain contusion</b>						
Yes	31	37	68		1,207- 5,399	1,89
No	5	51	56			
<b>Temporal/Uncal Herniation</b>						
Yes	20	63	83			<b>&lt;0,0001</b>
No	16	25	41			
<b>Rotterdam Score</b>						
	<b>4,19</b>	3,73	7,92			<b>&lt;0,0001</b>
<b>Cortical Sulcus effacement</b>						
Visible bilaterally	5	13	18			
Not visible unilaterally	26	62	88	-	-	0,98
Not visible bilaterally	5	13	18			
<b>Biology</b>						
<b>Coagulation impairment</b>						
Yes	26	65	91			0,851

No	10	23	33			
<b>Anemia</b>						
Yes	24	32	56			0,19
No	12	56	68			
<b>Therapeutic characteristics</b>						
<b>Surgical Timing (n=97)</b>						
< 24h	1	4	5	0,45	0,008-4,7999	0,654
> 24hs	33	59	92			
<b>Treatment Type</b>						
Surgical	35	62	97	144,791	2,180-616,87	<b>0,0005</b>
Non surgical	1	26	27			
<b>Surgical Technique</b>						
Decompressive hemicraniectomy	17	21	38			0,21
Decompressive hemicraniectomy + hematoma evacuation	18	41	59			
<b>Intraoperative blood loss</b>						
< 500ml	12	32	44		-109,02-57,02	0,23
> 500ml	24	56	80			
<b>Outcomes</b>						
<b>ICU admission</b>						
Yes	<b>5</b>	<b>39</b>	44			<b>0,01</b>
No	<b>31</b>	<b>49</b>	80			
<b>Post operative infection</b>						
Yes	10	1	11			0,55
No	26	87	113			
<b>Rehabilitation</b>						
Yes	6	49	55		0,049-0,446	72,079
No	30	39	69			
<b>Glasgow Outcome Score</b>						
[1-2]	34	2	36	576,36	82,029-8129	1,1
[3-5]	2	86	88			

Table. 5. Analysis regression logistic multivariate.

Variables	ORa	IC	P value
<b>Sociodémographic</b>			
Age	3,475	0,589-3,639	0,742
<b>Clinical</b>			
Admission delay	1,7	0,222-1,892	0,32
Initial GCS ≤ 13	5,3	1,589-2,639	< <b>0,0001</b>
Pupil diameter abnormalities	28,2	-	< <b>0,0001</b>
Neurological deficit	1,34	0,565-3,282	0,54
<b>CT Finding</b>			
Average Hematoma Thickness, mm ≥15,8 mm	11,051	0,222-1,894	< <b>0,0001</b>
Average Midline Shift ≥13 mm	6,02	-	< <b>0,0001</b>
Average (ET - MS), mm	3,08	-	0,428
Temporal/Uncal Herniation	8,034	0,405-2,727	<b>0,000</b>
Rotterdam Score ≥ 4,19	14	-	<b>0,000</b>
Cortical Sulcus effacement	0,667	-	0,919
<b>Biology</b>			
Coagulation impairment	1,42	-	0,74
<b>Therapeutic characteristics</b>			
Surgical timing (n=97)	0,865	-	0,345
Intraoperative blood loss	2,08	-	0,08
<b>Outcomes</b>			

ICU admission	5,84	-	<b>0,01</b>
Rehabilitation			0,79
Glasgow Outcome Score	2,435	0,453-2,476	0,213

#### 4. Discussion

This study aimed to identify the predictive factors of poor prognosis in patients with acute subdural hematoma (ASDH). The significant predictors of mortality identified were: an initial Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score  $\leq 13$  (OR = 5.3,  $p < 0.0001$ ), pupil diameter abnormalities (OR = 28.281,  $p < 0.0001$ ), hematoma thickness  $\geq 15.8$  mm (OR = 11.051,  $p < 0.0001$ ), midline shift (MLS)  $\geq 13$  mm (OR = 6.02,  $p < 0.0001$ ), presence of temporal or tonsillar herniation (OR = 8.034,  $p = 0.000$ ), a Rotterdam score  $\geq 4.19$  (OR = 14,  $p = 0.000$ ), and non-admission to intensive care (OR = 5.84,  $p = 0.01$ ). The strength of this study lies in its sample size of 124 patients, which reduces selection bias and enhances the reliability of the findings.

##### 4.1. Mortality Rate

The study revealed a mortality rate of 40.91% among patients treated for ASDH. Although slightly lower than rates reported in other studies [6, 7, 14], this remains significantly high. This elevated mortality rate may be attributed to delays in transferring patients to specialized centers due to transportation challenges and long distances, which exacerbate the harmful effects of secondary brain injury mechanisms (ACSOS).

##### 4.2. Predictive Factors of Poor Prognosis

###### 4.2.1. Initial Alteration in Consciousness

Clinical evaluation is essential for assessing the severity of traumatic brain injury and estimating the risk associated with intracranial lesions. The Glasgow coma scale (GCS) is the most commonly used tool for this purpose, analyzing eye opening, motor responses, and verbal responses to stimuli. In this study, altered consciousness was observed in 106 cases, with nearly all deceased patients (97.22%) having a GCS score  $\leq 13$ . This demonstrates a significant association between mortality and impaired consciousness at initial clinical examination (OR = 5.3,  $p < 0.0001$ ). Similar findings have been reported in other studies [6-18]. This association may be explained by the fact that altered consciousness often reflects hemodynamic and ventilatory instability, as well as worsening secondary brain injuries due to ACSOS.

###### 4.2.2. Pupil Diameter Abnormalities

In this study, 44% of deceased patients presented with pupil abnormalities. Analysis revealed that pupil diameter abnormalities increased the risk of mortality by more than 28 times (OR = 28.2,  $p < 0.0001$ ), consistent with findings from other studies [7, 10, 19]. Pupil abnormalities are indicative of specific brain injuries, particularly temporal herniation or brainstem compression, making them reliable markers of severe traumatic brain injury and predictors of mortality in post-traumatic ASDH.

###### 4.2.3. Hematoma Thickness

All deceased patients in this study had hematoma thickness  $\geq 15.8$  mm (OR = 11.051,  $p < 0.0001$ ). The detrimental impact of hematoma thickness exceeding 15 mm has also been highlighted in other studies, such as Baucher [3] ( $p = 0.002$ ), who reported a slightly higher threshold ( $> 20$  mm) associated with poor prognosis. Hematoma thickness is an independent marker of severity, integrated into prognostic scores such as CRASH and IMPACT [19, 20]. Its utility in identifying patients at risk of post-operative complications (e.g., recurrence, cerebral edema) has also been noted [20, 21].

###### 4.3. Midline Shift (MLS)

Midline shift observed on CT scans is a direct indicator of mass effect and intracranial pressure, reflecting critical brain compression and major risks of cerebral herniation, which explains its association with high mortality in ASDH. In this study, the risk of mortality was 6 times higher for patients with MLS  $\geq 13$  mm (OR = 6.02,  $p < 0.0001$ ). This observation aligns with findings by Attal [6], who noted that MLS was more pronounced in deceased patients ( $p < 0.0001$ ), even though no specific prognostic threshold was reported.

###### 4.4. Presence of Temporal or Tonsillar Herniation

Temporal or tonsillar herniation in ASDH indicates compression of vital structures, leading rapidly to irreversible neurological and cardiopulmonary failure, which explains its association with mortality. In this study, the risk of mortality was 8 times higher in cases with temporal or tonsillar herniation compared to cases without (OR = 8.034,  $p = 0.000$ ). Other studies [22, 23] have similarly reported a 5-fold increase in mortality risk (OR = 5.09,  $p = 0.0004$ ) in cases of cerebral herniation in general.

###### 4.5. Rotterdam Score

A Rotterdam score  $\geq 4$  was significantly associated with poor prognosis (OR = 14,  $p = 0.000$ ). In this study, all patients with a Rotterdam score  $> 4$  died. This finding is consistent with the literature [24], which emphasizes the importance of this score as a reliable prognostic marker in severe traumatic brain injuries, including ASDH. The Rotterdam score incorporates essential radiological criteria such as midline shift, lateral ventricle status, and effacement of subarachnoid spaces, reflecting the severity of mass effect and intracranial hypertension. Severe mass effect predisposes patients to transtentorial or subfalcine herniation, leading to vital center failure and increased mortality.

###### 4.6. Non-Admission to Intensive Care

In this study, non-admission to intensive care was significantly associated with mortality in patients with ASDH (OR = 5.84,  $p = 0.01$ ). The mortality rate was 38% for patients not admitted to intensive care, compared to 11.38% for those who were. This observation aligns with

the literature, which highlights the critical importance of intensive care management and rigorous neurological and hemodynamic monitoring in this context [25, 26]. Non-admission often reflects resource limitations, such as the availability of intensive care beds, which can hinder optimal management and increase the risk of secondary cerebral ischemia and death. Thus, the absence of intensive care admission in patients with ASDH emerges as a poor prognostic factor, underscoring the need for systematic referral to intensive care units for appropriate monitoring and intervention to improve survival and functional outcomes.

**4.7. Limitations**

While this study provides important insights into the predictors of mortality in ASDH, several limitations must be acknowledged. First, although the cohort included 124 patients, the sample size is relatively modest for a robust multivariate analysis, and a larger population would strengthen the statistical validity of the results. Second, the retrospective design introduces inherent biases in data collection, which could be better addressed through a prospective study. Finally, the single-center nature of the study may restrict the generalizability of the findings to other clinical contexts; a multicenter approach would improve the applicability of the results, particularly in resource-limited settings such as ours.

**Annex**

**SCORE DE ROTTERDAM**

<i>Classification</i>	<i>Interpretation</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <b>Basal cisterns</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 0: normal</li> <li>○ 1: compressed</li> <li>○ 2: absent</li> </ul> </li> <li>● <b>Midline shift</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 0: no shift or ≤ 5 mm</li> <li>○ 1: shift &gt; 5 mm</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>In adults the mortality at six months increases with the score:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <b>Score 1</b> : 0 %</li> <li>● <b>Score 2</b> : 7 %</li> <li>● <b>Score 3</b> : 16 %</li> <li>● <b>Score 4</b> : 26 %</li> <li>● <b>Score 5</b> : 53 %</li> <li>● <b>Score 6</b> : 61 %</li> </ul> <p>Children have lower mortality in lower Rotterdam scores (scores 2 and 3), and higher mortality at higher scores (scores 4 to 6)</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <b>Epidural mass lesion</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 0 : present</li> <li>○ 1 : absent</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <b>Intraventricular blood or traumatic SAH</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 0 : absent</li> <li>○ 1 : present</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	

**GOS**

“1. Dead: As a direct result of brain trauma, or ... due to secondary complications or other complications”

“2. Vegetative State: Patients who remain unresponsive and speechless....”

“3. Severe Disability: The patient is conscious but needs the assistance of another person for some activities of daily living every day.....”

“4. Moderate Disability: Such a patient is able to look after himself at home, to get out and about to the shops and to travel by public transport. However, some previous activities, either at work or in social life, are now no longer possible by reason of either physical or mental deficit....”

“5. Good Recovery: This indicates the capacity to resume normal occupational and social activities, although there may be minor physical or mental deficits...social outcome should be included in the assessment here, such as leisure activities and family relationships.”

**5. Conclusion**

This retrospective study identified several key predictive factors of poor prognosis in patients with acute subdural hematoma (ASDH). High mortality was strongly associated with initial impaired consciousness (GCS ≤ 13), the presence of pupil abnormalities indicating severe brain injury, hematoma thickness of at least 15.8 mm, and midline shift of 13 mm or greater, both reflecting a critical mass effect. Additional predictors included the presence of temporal or tonsillar herniation, a Rotterdam score of 4.19 or higher, and lack of admission to intensive care, underscoring the essential role of intensive management. Together, these findings highlight the decisive influence of both initial clinical presentation and radiological parameters on ASDH prognosis. They also draw attention to the significant challenges imposed by resource limitations, particularly restricted access to intensive care, which has a direct impact on patient survival.

**Conflicts of Interest**

None.

## References

- [1] Fatima Zahra GUISSI “Traumatismes crâniens admis en réanimation: caractéristiques et facteurs pronostiques (étude rétrospective à propos de 50 cas).” Thèse: 2022.
- [2] Trouiller P, Mantz J “Prise en charge médico-chirurgicale des traumatisés crâniens graves à la phase aiguë.” *La Lettre du neurologue*, vol. 10, no 2, pp. 55-60, 2006.
- [3] Guillaume Baucher, Lucas Troude, Vanessa Pauly, et al. “Predictive Factors of Poor Prognosis After Surgical Management of Traumatic Acute Subdural Hematomas: A Single-Center Series.” *World Neurosurg*, vol. 126, pp. e944-e952, 2019. View at: [Publisher Site](#) | [PubMed](#)
- [4] K Beavogui, A Koïvogui, I S Souare, et al. “Profile of cranio-cerebral trauma and spinal cord trauma related road accidents in Guinea.” *Neurochirurgie*, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 287-292, 2012. View at: [Publisher Site](#) | [PubMed](#)
- [5] Dembélé, Bakary S “Étude épidémiologique clinique et pronostique des hématomes sous duraux aigus au CHU Gabriel Touré 2021: Thèse de doctorat.”
- [6] Attal M, Tours A “Facteurs prédictifs de mauvais pronostic à la prise en charge initiale des hématomes sous duraux aigus.” Thèse de doctorat, 2017.
- [7] Elouardi F “Les facteurs pronostiques chez les traumatisés crâniens graves : expérience du service de réanimation chirurgicale du CHU Mohammed VI de Marrakech 2017-2022.” Université Caddi Ayad. Thèse 2024.
- [8] J.-R. Alliez, C. Balan, M. Leone, et al. “Hématomes intracrâniens post-traumatiques en phase aiguë.” *EMC (Elsevier Masson SAS, Paris). Neurologie*, 17-585-A-20, 2008.
- [9] Miyabe F “Place du volet décompressif dans les traumatismes crâniens graves. 2012.” Thèse de doctorat.
- [10] M Assamadi, L Benantar, A Ait El Qadi, et al. “Epidemiological aspects and prognostic factors of severe traumatic brain injuries.” *Neurochirurgie*, vol. 67, no. 6, pp. 571-578, 2021. View at: [Publisher Site](#) | [PubMed](#)
- [11] Georgios Tsermoulas, Omid Shah, Haren Eranga Wijesinghe, et al. “Surgery for Acute Subdural Hematoma: Replace or Remove the Bone Flap?” *World Neurosurg*, vol. 88, pp. 569-575, 2016. View at: [Publisher Site](#) | [PubMed](#)
- [12] N. Ben Hamouda, M. Oddo “Prise en charge du traumatisme crânien cérébral grave.” *Réanimation*, vol. 22, no 2, pp. 479-487, 2012. View at: [Publisher Site](#)
- [13] Lachlan R Evans, Jordan Jones, Hui Q Lee, et al. “Prognosis of Acute Subdural Hematoma in the Elderly: A Systematic Review.” *J Neurotrauma*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 517-522, 2019. View at: [Publisher Site](#) | [PubMed](#)
- [14] Nicola Benedetto, Carlo Gambacciani, Nicola Montemurro, et al. “Surgical management of acute subdural haematomas in elderly: report of a single center experience.” *Br J Neurosurg*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 244-248, 2017. View at: [Publisher Site](#) | [PubMed](#)
- [15] Siham CHOUIEKH “Facteurs de mortalité des patients de neurochirurgie admis en réanimation.” 2016: Thèse de doctorat.
- [16] Ben Ousmanou Djoubairou, Bello Figuim, Boris Gbassara Koullagna, et al. “Results of Surgical Treatment and Prognostic Factors in Patients Operated for Severe Traumatic Brain Injury in Three Referral Hospitals in Yaoundé.” *Open J Mod Neurosurg*, vol. 15, no 2, pp. 164-177, 2025. View at: [Publisher Site](#)
- [17] Fatih Alagoz, Ali Erdem Yildirim, Mert Sahinoglu, et al. “Traumatic Acute Subdural Hematomas: Analysis of Outcomes and Predictive Factors at a Single Center.” *Turk Neurosurg*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 187-191, 2017. View at: [Publisher Site](#) | [PubMed](#)
- [18] F A P Razafindraib, A R N Ramarolah, F A Rakotomavo, et al. “Prognosis factors of acute severe traumatic brain injuries at the surgical emergency unit of the Joseph Ravoahangy Andrianavalona teaching hospital.” *Anesth Réanim Med Urg Toxicol*, vol. 14, pp. 60-64, 2022.
- [19] Pablo Perel, Miguel Arango, Tim Clayton, et al. “Predicting outcome after traumatic brain injury: practical prognostic models based on large cohort of international patients.” *BMJ*, vol. 336, no. 7641, pp. 425-429, 2008. View at: [Publisher Site](#) | [PubMed](#)
- [20] Ewout W Steyerberg, Nino Mushkudiani, Pablo Perel, et al. “Predicting outcome after traumatic brain injury: development and international validation of prognostic scores based on admission characteristics.” *PLoS Med*, vol. 5, no. 8, pp. e165, 2008. View at: [Publisher Site](#) | [PubMed](#)
- [21] Ian Roberts, David Yates, Peter Sandercock, et al. “Effect of intravenous corticosteroids on death within 14 days in 10008 adults with clinically significant head injury (MRC CRASH trial): randomised placebo-controlled trial.” *Lancet*, vol. 364, no. 9442, pp. 1321-1328, 2004. View at: [Publisher Site](#) | [PubMed](#)
- [22] Nathan Beucler “Prognostic Factors of Mortality and Functional Outcome for Acute Subdural Hematoma: A Review Article.” *Asian J Neurosurg*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 454-467, 2023. View at: [Publisher Site](#) | [PubMed](#)
- [23] Homer C N Tien, Vincent Jung, Ruxandra Pinto, et al. “Reducing time-to-treatment decreases mortality of trauma patients with acute subdural hematoma.” *Ann Surg*, vol. 253, no. 6, pp. 1178-1183, 2011. View at: [Publisher Site](#) | [PubMed](#)
- [24] Jose D Charry, Jesus D Falla, Juan D Ochoa, et al. “External Validation of the Rotterdam Computed Tomography Score in the Prediction of Mortality in Severe Traumatic Brain Injury.” *J Neurosci Rural Pract*, vol. 8, no. Suppl 1, pp. S23-S26, 2017. View at: [Publisher Site](#) | [PubMed](#)
- [25] Iulia-Sevastiana Pastor, Lăcrimioara Perju Dumbravă, Costel Siserman, et al. “Predictive factors of 30-day mortality in patients with traumatic subdural hematoma.” *Exp Ther Med*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 757, 2021. doi: View at: [Publisher Site](#) | [PubMed](#)
- [26] Daniel M Fountain, Angelos G Kolias, Fiona E Lecky, et al. “Survival Trends After Surgery for Acute Subdural Hematoma in Adults Over a 20-year Period.” *Ann Surg*, vol. 265, no. 3, pp. 590-596, 2017. View at: [Publisher Site](#) | [PubMed](#)